# MEMORANDUM

To: Copyright Committee

From: Carlyle Rogers, Office of Technology Transfer

Subject: Minutes from March 17, 2014 Committee Meeting

Date: March 17, 2013

# Membership -per Copyright Policy

Voting Members			
Representing	Name	Unit	Attendance
Vice Chancellor Representative	Marti Van Scott	Technology Transfer, Committee Chair	Regrets
Faculty – Chair of Faculty Delegate	Andrew Stuart	Communication Sciences & Disorders	٧
Faculty – Faculty Senator	David Siegel	Adult & Counselor Education	٧
Faculty – DE & Learning Technology Committee	Mark Moore	Kinesiology	٧
Faculty – Research & Creative Activity Committee	Jay Newhard	Philosophy	٧
Faculty – At Large	Jeanne Hoover	Academic Library Services	٧
Staff Senate	Renee Safford - White	Advancement	Not Present
Staff Senate	Tamara McKeel	Nursing	Not Present
Staff Senate	David Forrest	SAH Technology	Not Present
Graduate School	Hannah Rawcliffe	Graduate Student	٧
Graduate School	Carlyle Rogers	Postdoctoral Scholar	٧
Ex-Officio Non-Voting			
Representing	Name	Unit	Attendance
Technology Transfer *	N/A	N/A	N/A
Libraries *	Beth Ketterman	Laupus Library	Regrets
ITCS *	Wendy Creasey	Academic Computing	٧
University Counsel *	Paul Zigas	Office of the University Attorney	N/A
Copyright Officer & Academic Affairs	Joseph Thomas	Joyner Library	٧
Health Sciences *	N/A	N/A	N/A
Research & Graduate Studies *	N/A	N/A	N/A
Student Affairs *	Aaron Lucier	Housing Operations	٧

<sup>\*</sup>Optional

#### 1) Welcome:

The committee convened at 11:04 am by Ron Mitchelson from Research and Graduate Studies. Ron Mitchelson chaired the meeting as Marti Van Scott was unable to attend the meeting. Ron Mitchelson provided a history of his involvement in the copyright policy and regulations and the different roles that the copyright committee serves. Carlyle Rogers from the Office of Technology Transfer was charged with taking Minutes for the meeting.

### 2) Approve December 12, 2013 Minutes:

Andrew Stuart made a motion to approve the December 12<sup>th</sup>, 2013 Minutes. Jay Newhard from Philosophy seconded the motion. The motion carried by majority vote. There were no abstentions.

## 3) Activity Updates:

#### a) Copyright & Scholarly Communications-Joseph Thomas:

Joseph Thomas discussed the ECU Copyright webpage (copyright.ecu.edu). The webpage includes library guides that William Gee had helped to develop on copyright matters such as fair use and permissions. Joseph is currently working to further develop the website to help connect people with the correct source. For example, if a person had a question about patents, they would be automatically directed to Technology Transfer.

The most frequently asked questions Joseph has received have been about digitizing materials and use of materials for online vs. face to face classes. Wendy Creasey suggested adding the media release tool forms to the copyright website. Joseph also discussed creating additional templates such as permission form templates to the copyright website.

Joseph is also working with Elaine Seeman and her graduate student on identifying open access resources for a business and development MOOC. This work is in conjunction with a professor at UNC Pembroke.

## b) DMCA Compliance Activities- Aaron Lucier

Aaron Lucier commented on the progression of moving the student access network to the new CISCO software. The software should be rolled out by summer session. By not having the software fully rolled out, testing the software for copyright issues has not occurred.

It was noted that private addressing is believed to be taking care of the majority of the copyright issues, despite the University still receiving notices for copyright violation. The reason why the University is still getting notices of copyright violation may be due to the

way the copyright enforcement companies identify copyright violators. For example, the private addressing would still allow students to be listed on peer to peer servers, but would prevent them from connecting. Since copyright enforcement companies identify violators based on if their name is on the list and not if the user can connect and access the information, the companies perceive there has been a copyright violation.

### c) ITCS Resources for Peer -to- Peer File Sharing – Wendy Creasy

Wendy Creasey spoke on the process if a copyright violation occurred by a faculty or staff member. Wendy also talked about how Networking has been investigating appliances that would enhance our ability to eliminate peer to peer file sharing. The two methods discussed involve 1) blocking certain files types and 2) closing certain port types. In the past the philosophy of blocking certain file types or ports was not considered an option, but in the past few years legitimate and improved access to movies, music and TV shows online has strengthened the argument of blocking peer to peer file sharing. The concern of peer to peer file sharing is centered on the students. One concern raised was that blocking certain file types and/or port types could prevent the sharing of data that is for legitimate reasons. For those cases, special requests could be submitted to allow such traffic.

The committee adjourned at 11:31 am.